

1 GERALD L. MARCUS, ESQ., SBN: 128384
2 T. VINCENT CONSOLO, ESQ., SBN: 245230
3 LAW OFFICES OF GERALD L. MARCUS
4 24025 Park Sorrento, Suite 430
5 Calabasas, CA 91302
6 Telephone: (818) 784-8544
7 Facsimile: (818) 784-5970
8 File No. 12114

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff, LEONARDO ANTOLIN

10

11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12 LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT - CIVIL UNLIMITED

13

14 LEONARDO ANTOLIN,) CASE NO. 22STCV04125
15 vs. Plaintiff,) [CIVIL UNLIMITED]
16 WALMART, a business entity form)
17 unknown; WAL-MART STORES INC.;)
18 and DOES 1-100, inclusive,)
19 Defendants.)
20 _____)

21 Plaintiff, LEONARDO ANTOLIN, alleges:

22 1) That at all times herein mentioned, Plaintiff was and now is a resident of the County of
23 Los Angeles, State of California. That Plaintiff is informed and believes and upon such
24 information and belief alleges that at all times herein mentioned Defendants, and each of
25 them were, and now are residents and/or doing business in the County of Los Angeles,
26 State of California.
27 2) That the true names or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise,
28 of Defendants named herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff
who therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names and capacities and Plaintiff
will amend this Complaint to state such true names and capacities when the same have

1 been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and upon such information and belief
2 alleges, that each of the Defendants designated herein as DOE, is legally responsible in
3 some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to, and legally caused injury
4 and damages to Plaintiff as hereinafter set forth.

5 3) That Plaintiff is informed and believes and upon such information and belief alleges, that
6 at all times herein mentioned Defendants, and each of them, were the agents and
7 employees of their Co-Defendants, and in doing the things herein mentioned were acting
8 in the course and scope of their authority as such agents and employees and with the
9 permission and consent of their Co-Defendants and each of them.

10 4) That Plaintiff is informed and believes and upon such information and belief alleges, that
11 at all times herein mentioned, Defendant, WALMART, was and now is a corporation,
12 limited partnership or a partnership, duly organized and existing under, and by virtue of
13 the laws of the State of California and is doing business in the state of California, with its
14 principal place of business located at 17150 Gage Avenue, City of Industry, County of
15 Los Angeles, State of California.

16 5) That Plaintiff is informed and believes and upon such information and belief alleges, that
17 at all times herein mentioned, Defendant, WAL-MART STORES INC., was and now is a
18 corporation, limited partnership or a partnership, duly organized and existing under, and
19 by virtue of the laws of the State of California and is doing business in the state of
20 California, with its principal place of business located at 702 8th Street, City of
21 Bentonville, State of Arizona.

22 6) That on or about January 28, 2021, Defendants, and each of them constructed, owned,
23 possessed, worked upon, managed, supervised, modified, repaired, operated, maintained,
24 managed, or controlled a certain premises located at or near 17150 Gage Avenue, City of
25 Industry, County of Los Angeles, State of California.

26 7) That at said time and place, Plaintiff was on the premises of Defendants, and each of
27 them, and while thereon and as a direct and proximate result of the negligence of
28 Defendants, and each of them, sustained injuries and damages as hereinafter set forth.

- 1 8) That Defendants, and each of them, were negligent in the manner in which they designed,
- 2 constructed, owned, possessed, modified, repaired, operated, maintained, worked upon,
- 3 controlled, managed, or supervised said premises by constructing, creating, and allowing
- 4 the dangerous condition to exist and remain upon said premises, and Defendants, and
- 5 each of them, were further negligent in failing to inspect, warn of, remove, correct and
- 6 otherwise take precautions so as to prevent injuries to persons on said premises.
- 7 9) That Defendant's and each of them violated and breached all relevant and applicable
- 8 ordinances, codes, statutes, and laws that were enacted for the purpose of protecting
- 9 Plaintiff herein, and the class of people of which Plaintiff herein is a member.
- 10 10) As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them,
- 11 Plaintiff was injured in his health, strength and activity, sustaining bodily injury and
- 12 shock to his nervous systems, which has caused, and will cause, great mental and physical
- 13 pain and suffering, all to Plaintiff's general damages in a sum within the jurisdiction of
- 14 this Court according to proof at the time of trial.
- 15 11) As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them,
- 16 Plaintiff has incurred, and will incur medical and related expenses in a sum according to
- 17 proof at the time of trial.
- 18 12) At the time of said injury, Plaintiff was employed or employable and as a direct and
- 19 proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, and by reason of the
- 20 injuries suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has sustained a loss of earnings and earning
- 21 capacity in a sum according to proof at the time of trial.

PRAYER OF RELIEF

23 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as
24 follows:

- 25 1) For general damages in a sum according to proof at the time of trial within the
26 jurisdiction of the Superior Court;
- 27 2) For medical and related expenses in a sum according to proof at the time of trial;
- 28 3) For loss of income and earning capacity in a sum according to proof at the time of

1 trial;

2 4) For pre-judgment interest at the legal rate;

3 5) For costs of suit incurred herein; and,

4 6) For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

5

6 DATED: February 2, 2022

LAW OFFICES OF GERALD L. MARCUS

7 By:

8 
9 GERALD L. MARCUS, ESQ.
10 T. VINCENT CONSOLO, ESQ.
11 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
12 LEONARDO ANTOLIN

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28